1 2 3 4 5 6	ROBERT W. FREEMAN Nevada Bar No. 3062 Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com E. MATTHEW FREEMAN Nevada Bar No. 14198 Matt.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383 FAX: 702.893.3789 Attorneys for Defendants	
7 8	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officer Brooks West and Detective Gabriel Lea	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION	
11	***	
12	DANIEL DEMISSIE;	CASE NO. 2:25-cv-00504-JAD-EJY
13 14	Plaintiff, vs.	AMENDED STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND PROTECTIVE ORDER
15	AARON D. FORD, ATTORNEY GENERAL	
16	OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT	
17	(Metro), DETECTIVE GABRIEL LEA (#16511), OFFICER BROOKS WEST	
18	(#16134), CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT, INC., FLAMINGO LAS VEGAS	
19	OPERATING COMPANY, LLC, AND JOHN DOE, FLAMINGO ASSISTANT SECURITY	
20	SHIFT MANAGER, Defendants.	
21	Defendants.	
22	The parties to this action, by their respective counsel or appearing pro se, having agreed to	
23	the following, and for good cause shown pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 26(c)(1), IT IS HEREBY	
24	ORDERED as follows:	
25	1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS.	
26	Disclosure and discovery activity in this action may involve production of confidential,	
27	proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure may be	
28	warranted pursuant to Rule 26(c)(1) of the Federa	al Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties

acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to

discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that

2

4

5

1

are entitled under law to treatment as confidential.

2. SCOPE.

6 7 8

9101112

14

15

13

16171819

202122

24

25

23

26

27

28

All documents produced in the course of discovery, all responses to discovery requests, and all deposition testimony and exhibits and any other materials which may be subject to discovery (hereinafter collectively "Discovery Material") shall be subject to this stipulated protective order concerning confidential information as set forth below. Any party, including pro se litigants, or any third party who produces documents in this litigation, may designate documents as Confidential but only after review of the documents by a legal representative of a party and/or the pro se litigant representing themselves who has, in good faith, determined that the documents contain "Confidential Information," as defined below, and pursuant to the procedure set forth below.

3. <u>CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.</u>

"Confidential Information" shall mean information meriting special protection under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and applicable case law. Confidential Information does not include information that: (a) is in the public domain at the time of disclosure; (b) becomes part of the public domain through no fault of the Receiving Party; (c) the Receiving Party can show was in its rightful and lawful possession at the time of disclosure; or (d) the Receiving Party lawfully receives from a Non-party later without restriction as to disclosure. "Confidential information" also refers to information and/or items (regardless of how they are generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection, the process for which is delineated herein, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c).

4. OTHER DEFINITIONS RELEVANT TO THE INSTANT PROTECTIVE ORDER AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT

<u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including any party appearing pro se, and all of its officers, directors, agents, and attorney(s) of record for a Party in this action (including their associates, paralegals, and support/clerical staff, as well as any clerical or support staff retained by a pro se

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

litigant to assist with this litigation).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Non-party: any individual, corporation, association, or natural person or entity other than a party.

<u>Protected Material</u>: any Discovery Material containing Confidential Information that is designated by a Party or Non-party as "CONFIDENTIAL," unless the Receiving Party challenges the confidentiality designation and (a) the Court decides such material is not entitled to protection as confidential; (b) the Designating Party fails to apply the Court for an order designating the material confidential within the time period specified below; or (c) the Designating Party withdraws its confidentiality designation in writing.

Producing Party: a Party or Non-party that produces Discovery Material in this action.

<u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

<u>Designating Party</u>: a Party or Non-party that designates Discovery Material as "CONFIDENTIAL". The Party or Non-party designating information or items as Protected Material bears the burden of establishing good cause for the confidentiality of all such items.

<u>Challenging Party</u>: a party that elects to initiate a challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation.

5. FORM AND TIMING OF DESIGNATION.

Protected Material shall be so designated by the Producing Party by placing or affixing the word "CONFIDENTIAL" on the document in a manner which will not interfere with the legibility of the document and which will permit complete removal of the "Confidential" designation.

Documents shall be designated "Confidential" prior to, or contemporaneously with, the production or disclosure of the documents.

A Designating Party must exercise restraint and make good faith efforts to limit CONFIDENTIAL designations to specific materials that qualify for protection under the appropriate standard. Further, a Designating Party must use good faith efforts to designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or communications that qualify – so that other portions of the materials, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order. If only a portion or portions

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5

of materials on a page or within a document merit protection, a Producing Party must so indicate by making appropriate markings in the margins but not over text.

A Producing Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "Confidential." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order, and, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend on each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriated markings in the margins or by redacting protected portions).

Portions of depositions shall be designated Confidential when the deposition is taken or within fourteen (14) business days after receipt of the transcript, if feasible. Such designation shall be specific as to the portions to be protected. A Designating Party must exercise restraint and make good faith efforts to limit "CONFIDENTIAL" designations to specific materials that qualify for protection under the appropriate standards.

Inadvertent or unintentional production of Protected Material without prior designation as "Confidential" shall not be deemed a waiver, in whole or in part, of the right to designate documents as Protected Material as otherwise allowed by this Order. Further, a Party may assert that disclosures or discovery material produced by another Party constitute Protected Material by informing the opposing Party by following the procedures set forth herein for a Designated Party.

6. Protection of PROTECTED Material.

a. General Protections. Protected Material shall not be used or disclosed by the parties or counsel for the parties or any other persons identified below (\P 6(b)) for any purposes whatsoever other than preparing for and conducting litigation in the above-entitled action (including any appeal).

160810884.1 4

4 5

6

7 8 9

1112

10

14

15

13

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

2728

EWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD

b. Qualified Receiving Parties and Limited Third Party Disclosures. Protected
Material shall be held in confidence by each qualified Receiving Party to whom it is disclosed,
shall be used only for purposes of this action, and shall not be disclosed to any person who is not a
qualified recipient. All Protected Material shall be carefully maintained so as to preclude access by
persons who are not qualified Receiving Parties.

Subject to these requirements, in addition to Parties and the Court, the following categories of persons may be allowed to review Protected Material pursuant to this Order after executing an acknowledgment (in the form set forth at Exhibit A hereto), that he or she has read and understands the terms of this Order and is bound by it:

- Any officers, directors, or designated employees of a Party deemed necessary by counsel of record in this action to aid in the prosecution, defense, or settlement of this action;
- (2) Professional outside vendors for attorneys of record or by a pro se litigant (such as copying services and translators and interpreters),
- (3) Court reporters, deposition notaries and staff;
- (4) The author of any document designated as CONFIDENTIAL or the original source of Confidential Information contained therein;
- (5) Persons other than legal counsel who have been retained or specially employed by a party as an expert witness for purposes of this lawsuit or to perform investigative work or fact research;
- (6) Deponents during the course of their depositions;
- (7) Counsel for issuers of insurance policies under which any issuer may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment that may be entered in these proceedings or indemnify or reimburse payments or costs associated with these proceedings;
- (8) Any private mediator or arbitrator appointed by the Court or selected by mutual agreement of the parties and the mediator or arbitrator's secretarial and clerical personnel;

5

7

13

11

17 18

19 20

21

22 23 24

27

28

26

25

(9) Any other person as to whom the Producing Party has consented to disclosure in advance and in writing, on notice to each Party hereto.

- c. Control of Documents. Counsel for Parties shall take reasonable efforts to prevent unauthorized disclosure of Protected Material pursuant to the terms of this Order. No copies of Protected Material shall be made except by or on behalf of attorneys of record, in-house counsel or the parties in this action.
- **d.** Copies. Any person making copies of Protected Material shall maintain all copies within their possession or the possession of those entitled to access to such information under the Protective Order. All copies shall be immediately affixed with the designation "CONFIDENTIAL" if the word does not already appear on the copy. All such copies shall be afforded the full protection of this Order.

7. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE.</u>

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order" (Exhibit A).

8. Filing PROTECTED Material

The Parties shall follow Rule 10-5 of the Local Rules of Practice for the U.S. District Court of Nevada, and must file documents under seal under the Court's electronic filing procedures. In addition, the parties agree that when producing protected material, the designating party will include a privilege log specifically identifying protected material, and describing the justification for said protection.

Further, the Parties recognize the presumption of public access inherent in judicial records and that a Protective Order does not establish that documents meet the "standard for sealing set forth in Rule 10-5 of the Local Rules of Practice for the U.S. District Court of Nevada and the



Ninth Circuit's decisions in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006) and *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group*, *LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016), *cert. denied sub nom. FCA U.S. LLC v. Ctr. for Auto Safety*, 137 S. Ct. 38 (2016). "A party seeking to seal a judicial record then bears the burden of overcoming this strong presumption by meeting the 'compelling reasons' standard." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178. The only exception to this rule is that only good cause need be established "for sealed materials attached to a discovery motion unrelated to the merits of a case." *Chrysler Group*,809 F. 3d at 1097 (citing *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1213–14 (9th Cir.2002).). Further, the Court should make an independent determination regarding whether documents merits sealed status, and thus expressly reserves the right to do so. *Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1186-87.

In recognition of this legal standard, and the fact that the party filing Protected Material may not be the party that designated it confidential (and thus, may not believe good cause exists for sealing), the Parties suggest that the procedure set forth below is followed if the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated a document as subject to protection pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order: The Party filing such Protected Materials may assert in the accompanying motion any reasons why the Protected Materials should not, in fact, be kept under seal and the Designating Party, who must be properly noticed, may likewise file a response asserting its position that the Protected Material merits protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and attaching a declaration supporting the assertion that the designated material meets the applicable standard.

9. Challenges to PROTECTED MATERIAL.

Any designation of Protected Material is subject to challenge. The following procedures shall apply to any such challenge:

- **a. Burden.** The burden of proving the necessity of a "CONFIDENTIAL" designation remains with the party asserting confidentiality.
- **b. Notice; Opportunity to Challenge.** A party who contends that Protected Material is not entitled to confidential treatment shall give written notice to the party who affixed the "CONFIDENTIAL" designation of the specific basis for the challenge. The party who so

LEWIS
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD designated the documents shall have seven (7) days from service of the written notice to determine if the dispute can be resolved without judicial intervention. If the dispute is not resolved within fourteen (14) days of the receipt of the aforementioned notice, the designating party must file a motion with the court seeking to uphold the designation. Failure to file such a motion shall result in the designation being deemed withdrawn, and the material shall no longer be treated as Protected Material under this order.

- c. Treatment as Protected Material until Order or Withdrawal. Notwithstanding any challenge to the designation of documents as such, all material previously designated "CONFIDENTIAL" shall continue to be treated as Protected Material subject to the full protections of this Order until one of the following occurs: (1) the Party who claims that the documents are Protected Material withdraws such designation in writing; (2) the Party who claims that the documents are confidential fails to move timely for an Order designating the documents as confidential as set forth in paragraph 9(b) above; or (3) the Court rules that the documents are not Protected Material and/or should no longer be designated as "Confidential."
- **d. No Waiver.** Challenges to the confidentiality of documents may be made at any time and are not waived by the failure to raise the challenge at the time of initial disclosure or designation.

10. **DURATION**; Conclusion of Litigation.

All provisions of this Order restricting the use of Protected Material shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of the litigation unless otherwise agreed or ordered. However, the dismissal of this action will terminate the jurisdiction of this Court, including over this Order. Within sixty (60) days of the final termination of in the above-entitled action, which would be either a final judgment on all claims or stipulation and order for dismissal with prejudice, all documents and information designated as CONFIDENTIAL by a Designating Party and which has not been challenged, including any copies, or documents containing information taken therefrom, shall be returned to the Designating Party. In the alternative, within sixty (60) days of the final termination of this case, which would be either a final judgment on all claims or stipulation and order for dismissal with prejudice, all such documents, including copies, may be shredded or

1

4 5

6 7

8

9 10 11

13

14

12

15 16

18

19

17

20 21

2223

24

2526

27

28

disposed of in a manner to ensure the destruction thereof and a declaration certifying such destruction or disposal provided to the Designating Party. To the extent a party has designated portions of a deposition transcript as CONFIDENTIAL, the non-designating party is under no obligation or duty to shred or dispose of the deposition transcript, however, the CONFIDENTIAL designation will remain.

11. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION.

If a Party is served with a subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of Protected Material designated by another Party or Non-party, the Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing (by e-mail or fax, if possible) within three (3) court days after receiving the subpoena or order. Such notification must include a copy of the subpoena or court order.

12. ORDER SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION.

This Order shall be subject to modification on motion of any Party or any other person who may show an adequate interest in in the above-entitled action to intervene for purposes of addressing the scope and terms of this Order. The Order shall not, however, be modified until the Parties shall have been given notice and an opportunity to be heard on the proposed modification.

13. NO JUDICIAL DETERMINATION.

This Order is entered based on the representations and agreements of the Parties and for the purpose of facilitating discovery. Nothing herein shall be construed or presented as a judicial determination that any specific document or item of information designated as CONFIDENTIAL by counsel is subject to protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or otherwise until such time as a document-specific ruling shall have been made.

14. MISCELLANEOUS.

a. Public Health and Safety. Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent any Party from raising with the Court any concern that the non-disclosure of certain Protected Material may have a possible adverse effect upon the general public health or safety, or the administration or operation of government or public office.

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

b. Right to Further Relief. Nothing is this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.

c. Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Order, no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

7 || ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

8 || ...

9 || ..

10 || ...

11 || ...

12 || ...

13 || ...

14 || ..

15 || .

16 || ...

17 || ...

18

19

20 || ...

...

. . .

21

22 |

23

24

25

26

27 ||

28 ||



1	15. PERSONS BOUND UPON ENTRY OF ORDER.	
2	This Order shall take effect when entered and shall be immediately binding upon the	
3	Parties (as defined herein).	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	DATED the 30th day of July, 2025	DATED the 30th day of July, 2025
6	DANIEL DEMISSIE	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
7	<u>/s/ Daniel Demissie</u>	/s/ E. Matthew Freeman
8	Daniel Demissie 142 S. Tennessee Ave., Apt 27	Robert W. Freeman, Esq., Nevada Bar No.: 3062
9	Atlantic City, NJ 08401	E. Matthew Freeman, Esq.,
10	Plaintiff in Pro Per	Nevada Bar No.: 14198 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 600
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
11		Attorneys for Metro Defendants
12		
13	DATED the 30 th day of July, 2025	DATED the 30 th day of July, 2025
14	RANALLI ZANIEL FOWLER & MORAN, LLC	NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
15	/s/ Maegun C. Mooso	
16	George M. Ranalli, Esq.	/s/ Kyle J. Hoyt Jessica E. Whelan, Esq.
17	Nevada Bar No.:5748	Nevada Bar No.: 14781
	Maegun C. Mooso, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 15067	Kyle J. Hoyt, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14886
18	2340 W. Horizon Ridge Parkway, #100	1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
19	Henderson, Nevada 89052 Attorney for Defendants Caesars	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
20	Entertainment, Inc., and Flamingo Las Vegas	Attorney for Aaron D. Ford, State of Nevada
21	Operating Company, LLC	
22	<u>ORDER</u>	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated this 30th day of July, 2025.	
25	\cap	
26	Clauma I. Zouchah	
27	Ū.S	. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	EXHIBIT A		
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT AND		
3	AGREEMENT TO COMPLY WITH		
4	STIPULATED CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT AND		
5	PROTECTIVE ORDER		
6			
7	The undersigned,, hereby acknowledges that he/she has		
8	been provided with a copy of the Parties' Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective		
9	Order entered in the case no. 2:25-cv-00504-JAD-EJY, captioned <i>DANIEL DEMISSIE v. AARON</i>		
10	D. FORD, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE		
11	DEPARTMENT, et al., in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, Southern Division.		
12	The undersigned has reviewed said Stipulated Confidentiality Agreement and Protective Order		
13	and hereby agrees to be bound by the terms thereof.		
14	DATED this day of, 2023		
15			
16	By:		
17	Litigation Participant – Signature		
18			
19	Name (Printed)		
20			
21	Street Address		
22			
23	City State Zip		
24			
25	Occupation / Business		
26			
27			
28 l			

160810884.1

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW